# DE GRUYTER OPEN

## DOI 10.1515/tmj-2015-0011

# Growth of polynomials with prescribed zeros – II

K. K. Dewan<sup>1</sup> and Arty Ahuja<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Jamia Millia Islamia (Central University), New Delhi -110025, India

<sup>2</sup>GGSSS, VV-II, Delhi-92, under Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi, India

E-mail: aarty\_ahuja@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

In this paper we consider a class of polynomials  $p(z) = c_n z^n + \sum_{j=\mu}^n c_{n-j} z^{n-j}, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$ , having all its zeros on  $|z| = k, k \leq 1$ . Using the notation  $M(p,t) = \max_{|z|=t} |p(z)|$ , we measure the growth of p by estimating  $\left\{\frac{M(p,t)}{M(p,1)}\right\}^s$  from above for any  $t \geq 1$ , s being an arbitrary positive integer.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. **30A10**. 30C10, 30C15. Keywords. Polynomials, maximum modulus, extremal problems.

### 1 Introduction and Statement of Results

For an arbitrary entire function f(z), let  $M(f,r) = \max_{\substack{|z|=r}} |f(z)|$ . As a consequence of maximum modulus principle [5, Vol. I, p. 137, Problem III, 269]) it is known that if p(z) is a polynomial of degree n, then

$$M(p,R) \le R^n M(p,1) \quad \text{for } R \ge 1.$$
(1.1)

The result is best possible and equality holds for polynomials having zeros at the origin.

Ankeny and Rivlin [1] considered polynomials not vanishing in the interior of the unit circle and obtained refinement of inequality (1.1) by proving that if  $p(z) \neq 0$  in |z| < 1, then

$$M(p,R) \le \left(\frac{R^n+1}{2}\right)M(p,1), \quad R \ge 1.$$
 (1.2)

The result is sharp and equality in (1.2) holds for  $p(z) = \alpha + \beta z^n$ , where  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ .

While trying to obtain inequality analogous to (1.2) for polynomials not vanishing in |z| < k,  $k \leq 1$ , recently the authors [2] proved the following result.

**Theorem A.** If  $p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j z^j$  is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros on  $|z| = k, k \le 1$ , then for every positive integer *s* 

$$\{M(p,R)\}^{s} \leq \left(\frac{k^{n-1}(1+k) + (R^{ns}-1)}{k^{n-1} + k^{n}}\right) \{M(p,1)\}^{s}, \quad R \ge 1.$$

$$(1.3)$$

By involving the coefficients of p(z), Dewan and Ahuja [2] in the same paper obtained the following refinement of Theorem A.

**Theorem B.** If  $p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j z^j$  is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros on  $|z| = k, k \le 1$ , then for every positive integer *s* 

$$\{M(p,R)\}^{s} \leq \frac{1}{k^{n}} \left( \frac{n|c_{n}|\{k^{n}(1+k^{2})+k^{2}(R^{ns}-1)\}+|c_{n-1}|\{2k^{n}+R^{ns}-1\}}{2|c_{n-1}|+n|c_{n}|(1+k^{2})} \right) \{M(p,1)\}^{s}, R \geq 1.$$

In this paper, we consider a class of polynomials  $p(z) = c_n z^n + \sum_{j=\mu}^n c_{n-j} z^{n-j}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$ , having all its zeros on |z| = k,  $k \le 1$  and generalize Theorem A and Theorem B. More precisely, we prove **Theorem 1.** If  $p(z) = c_n z^n + \sum_{j=\mu}^n c_{n-j} z^{n-j}$ ,  $1 \le \mu < n$ , is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros on |z| = k,  $k \le 1$ , then for every positive integer *s* 

$$\{M(p,R)\}^{s} \le \left(\frac{k^{n-2\mu+1}+k^{n-\mu+1}+R^{ns}-1}{k^{n-2\mu+1}+k^{n-\mu+1}}\right)\{M(p,1)\}^{s}, R \ge 1.$$
(1.5)

**Remark 1.** If we choose  $\mu = 1$  in Theorem 1, then inequality (1.5) reduces to Theorem A.

For s = 1 in Theorem 1, we get the following result.

**Corollary 1.** If  $p(z) = c_n z^n + \sum_{j=\mu}^n c_{n-j} z^{n-j}$ ,  $1 \le \mu < n$ , is a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros on  $|z| = k, k \le 1$ , then

$$M(p,R) \le \left(\frac{k^{n-2\mu+1} + k^{n-\mu+1} + R^n - 1}{k^{n-2\mu+1} + k^{n-\mu+1}}\right) M(p,1), \quad R \ge 1.$$
(1.6)

The following corollary immediately follows from inequality (1.6) by taking k = 1.

**Corollary 2.** If  $p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j z^j$  is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros on |z| = 1, then

$$M(p,R) \le \left(\frac{R^n+1}{2}\right) M(p,1), \quad R \ge 1.$$

$$(1.7)$$

If we involve the coefficients of p(z) also, then we are able to obtain a bound which is better than the bound of Theorem 1. In fact, we prove

**Theorem 2.** If  $p(z) = c_n z^n + \sum_{j=\mu}^n c_{n-j} z^{n-j}$ ,  $1 \le \mu < n$ , is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros on  $|z| = k, k \le 1$ , then for every positive integer *s* 

$$\{M(p,R)\}^{s} \leq \frac{1}{k^{n-\mu+1}} \left( \frac{n|c_{n}|\{k^{n}(1+k^{\mu+1})+k^{2\mu}(R^{ns}-1)\}}{+\mu|c_{n-\mu}|\{k^{n-\mu+1}(1+k^{\mu-1})+k^{\mu-1}(R^{ns}-1)\}}{\mu|c_{n-\mu}|(1+k^{\mu-1})+n|c_{n}|k^{\mu-1}(1+k^{\mu+1})} \right) \{M(p,1)\}^{s}, R \geq 1.$$

$$(1.8)$$

Unauthenticated Download Date | 3/1/18 11:35 AM Growth of polynomials with prescribed zeros - II

To prove that the bound obtained in the above theorem is, in general, better than the bound obtained in Theorem 1, we show that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{k^{n-\mu+1}} & \frac{\left(\begin{array}{c} n|c_n|\{k^n(1+k^{\mu+1})+k^{2\mu}(R^{ns}-1)\}\\ +\mu|c_{n-\mu}|\{k^{n-\mu+1}(1+k^{\mu-1})+k^{\mu-1}(R^{ns}-1)\}\end{array}\right)}{\mu|c_{n-\mu}|(1+k^{\mu-1})+n|c_n|k^{\mu-1}(1+k^{\mu+1})} \\ & \leq \frac{k^{n-2\mu+1}+k^{n-\mu+1}+R^{ns}-1}{k^{n-2\mu+1}+k^{n-\mu+1}} \end{split}$$
  
is equivalent to

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{split} n|c_{n}|(k^{n-2\mu+1}+k^{n-\mu+1})(k^{n}+k^{n+\mu+1}+k^{2\mu}R^{ns}-k^{2\mu}) \\ +\mu|c_{n-\mu}|(k^{n-2\mu+1}+k^{n-\mu+1})(k^{n}+k^{n-\mu+1}+k^{\mu-1}R^{ns}-k^{\mu-1}) \\ &\leq n|c_{n}|(k^{n}+k^{n+\mu+1})(k^{n-2\mu+1}+k^{n-\mu+1}+R^{ns}-1) \\ &+\mu|c_{n-\mu}|(k^{n}+k^{n-\mu+1})(k^{n-2\mu+1}+k^{n-\mu+1}+R^{ns}-1) \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\begin{split} n|c_{n}|(k^{2n-2\mu+1}+k^{2n-\mu+2}+k^{n+1}R^{ns}-k^{n+1}+k^{2n-\mu+1}+k^{2n-\mu+1}+k^{2n-2\mu+2}+k^{n+\mu+1}R^{ns}-k^{n+\mu+1}) \\ +\mu|c_{n-\mu}|(k^{2n-2\mu+1}+k^{2n-3\mu+2}+k^{n-\mu}R^{ns}-k^{n-\mu}+k^{2n-\mu+1}+k^{2n-2\mu+2}+k^{n}R^{ns}-k^{n}) \\ &\leq n|c_{n}|(k^{2n-2\mu+1}+k^{2n-\mu+1}+k^{n}R^{ns}-k^{n}+k^{2n-\mu+2}+k^{2n+2}+k^{n+\mu+1}R^{ns}-k^{n+\mu+1}) \\ +\mu|c_{n-\mu}|(k^{2n-2\mu+2}+k^{2n-3\mu+2}+k^{n-\mu+1}R^{ns}-k^{n-\mu+1}+k^{2n-2\mu+1}+k^{2n-\mu+1}+k^{n}R^{ns}-k^{n}), \\ \mu|c_{n-\mu}|\{k^{n-\mu}(R^{ns}-1)-k^{n-\mu+1}(R^{ns}-1)\} \leq n|c_{n}|\{k^{n}(R^{ns}-1)-k^{n+1}(R^{ns}-1)\}, \\ \mu|c_{n-\mu}|k^{n-\mu} \leq n|c_{n}|k^{n}, \\ \frac{\mu|c_{n-\mu}|}{n|c_{n}|} \leq k^{\mu}, \end{split}$$

which is always true (see Lemma 4).

If we choose s = 1 in Theorem 2, we get the following result.

**Corollary 3.** If  $p(z) = c_n z^n + \sum_{j=\mu}^n c_{n-j} z^{n-j}$ ,  $1 \le \mu < n$ , is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros on  $|z| = k, k \leq 1$ 

$$M(p,R) \leq \frac{n|c_n|\{k^n(1+k^{\mu+1})+k^{2\mu}(R^n-1)\}}{\mu|c_{n-\mu}|\{k^{n-\mu+1}(1+k^{\mu-1})+k^{\mu-1}(R^n-1)\}} M(p,1), R \geq 1.$$

$$(1.9)$$

**Remark 2.** (i) If we choose  $\mu = 1$  in Theorem 2, then inequality (1.8) reduces to Theorem B. (ii) For k = 1 in inequality (1.9), we get Corollary 2.

#### 2 Lemmas

We need the following lemmas for the proof of these theorems. The first lemma is due to Dewan and Hans [3].

(2.1)

**Lemma 1.** If  $p(z) = c_n z^n + \sum_{j=\mu}^n c_{n-j} z^{n-j}$ ,  $1 \le \mu < n$ , is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros on  $|z| = k, k \le 1$ 

$$\max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| \le \frac{n}{k^{n-2\mu+1} + k^{n-\mu+1}} \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|$$

**Lemma 2.** If  $p(z) = c_n z^n + \sum_{j=\mu}^n c_{n-j} z^{n-j}$ ,  $1 \le \mu < n$ , is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros on  $|z| = k, k \le 1$ , then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| \le \frac{n}{k^{n-\mu+1}} \frac{n|c_n|k^{2\mu} + \mu|c_{n-\mu}|k^{\mu-1}}{\mu|c_{n-\mu}|(1+k^{\mu-1}) + n|c_n|k^{\mu-1}(1+k^{\mu+1})} \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|.$$
(2.2)

The above lemma is due to Dewan and Hans [4].

**Lemma 3.** Let  $p(z) = c_0 + \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n c_\nu z^\nu$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$ , be a polynomial of degree *n* having no zero in the disk  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$ ,

$$\frac{\mu}{n} \left| \frac{c_{\mu}}{c_0} \right| k^{\mu} \le 1.$$
(2.3)

The above lemma was given by Qazi [6, Remark 1].

**Lemma 4.** Let  $p(z) = c_n z^n + \sum_{j=\mu}^n c_{n-j} z^{n-j}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$ , be a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros on  $|z| = k, k \le 1$ ,

$$\frac{\mu}{n} \left| \frac{c_{n-\mu}}{c_n} \right| \le k^{\mu} \,. \tag{2.4}$$

**Proof of Lemma 4.** If p(z) has all its zeros on |z| = k,  $k \le 1$ , then  $q(z) = z^n p\left(\frac{1}{\overline{z}}\right)$ , has all its zeros on  $|z| = \frac{1}{k}$ ,  $\frac{1}{k} \ge 1$ . Now applying Lemma 3 to the polynomial q(z), Lemma 4 follows.

## 3 Proof of the theorems

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $M(p,1) = \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|$ . Since p(z) is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros on |z| = k,  $k \leq 1$ , therefore by Lemma 1, we have

$$|p'(z)| \le \frac{n}{k^{n-2\mu+1} + k^{n-\mu+1}} M(p,1) \text{ for } |z| = 1.$$

Now p'(z) is a polynomial of degree n-1, therefore, it follows by (1.1) that for all  $r \ge 1$  and  $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$ 

$$|p'(re^{i\theta})| \le \frac{nr^{n-1}}{k^{n-2\mu+1} + k^{n-\mu+1}} M(p,1).$$
(3.1)

Unauthenticated Download Date | 3/1/18 11:35 AM Growth of polynomials with prescribed zeros – II

Also for each  $\theta, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$  and  $R \geq 1$ , we obtain

$$\{p(Re^{i\theta})\}^s - \{p(e^{i\theta})\}^s = \int_1^R \frac{d}{dt} \{p(te^{i\theta})\}^s dt$$
$$= \int_1^R s\{p(te^{i\theta})\}^{s-1} p'(te^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} dt.$$

This implies

$$\{p(Re^{i\theta})\}^{s} - \{p(e^{i\theta})\}^{s}| \le s \int_{1}^{R} |p(te^{i\theta})|^{s-1} |p'(te^{i\theta})| dt$$

which on combining with inequalities (3.1) and (1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\{p(Re^{i\theta})\}^s - \{p(e^{i\theta})\}^s| &\leq \frac{ns}{k^{n-2\mu+1} + k^{n-\mu+1}} \{M(p,1)\}^s \int_1^R t^{ns-1} dt, \\ &= \left(\frac{R^{ns} - 1}{k^{n-2\mu+1} + k^{n-\mu+1}}\right) \{M(p,1)\}^s. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|p(Re^{i\theta})|^{s} \leq |p(e^{i\theta})|^{s} + \left(\frac{R^{ns}-1}{k^{n-2\mu+1}+k^{n-\mu+1}}\right) \{M(p,1)\}^{s}, \\ \leq \{M(p,1)\}^{s} + \left(\frac{R^{ns}-1}{k^{n-2\mu+1}+k^{n-\mu+1}}\right) \{M(p,1)\}^{s}$$
(3.2)

Hence, from (3.2), we conclude that

$$\{M(p,R)\}^s \le \left(\frac{k^{n-2\mu+1}+k^{n-\mu+1}+R^{ns}-1}{k^{n-2\mu+1}+k^{n-\mu+1}}\right)\{M(p,1)\}^s.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** The proof of Theorem 2 follows on the same lines as that of Theorem 1 by using Lemma 2 instead of Lemma 1. But for the sake of completeness we give a brief outline of the proof. Since p(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros on  $|z| = k, k \leq 1$ , therefore, by Lemma 2, we have

$$|p'(z)| \le \frac{n}{k^{n-\mu+1}} \left( \frac{n|c_n|k^{2\mu} + \mu|c_{n-\mu}|k^{\mu-1}}{n|c_n|k^{\mu-1}(1+k^{\mu+1}) + \mu|c_{n-\mu}|(1+k^{\mu-1})} \right) M(p,1) \quad \text{for} \quad |z| = 1.$$

Now p'(z) is a polynomial of degree n-1, therefore, it follows by (1.1) that for all  $r \ge 1$  and  $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$ 

$$|p'(re^{i\theta})| \le \frac{nr^{n-1}}{k^{n-\mu+1}} \left( \frac{n|c_n|k^{2\mu} + \mu|c_{n-\mu}|k^{\mu-1}}{n|c_n|k^{\mu-1}(1+k^{\mu+1}) + \mu|c_{n-\mu}|(1+k^{\mu-1})} \right) M(p,1).$$
(3.3)

Also for each  $\theta$ ,  $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$  and  $R \ge 1$ , we have

$$|\{p(Re^{i\theta})\}^s - \{p(e^{i\theta})\}^s| \le s \int_1^R |p(te^{i\theta})|^{s-1} |p'(te^{i\theta})| dt.$$

which on combining with inequalities (1.1) and (3.3), we get

$$\begin{split} |\{p(Re^{i\theta})\}^{s} &- \{p(e^{i\theta})\}^{s}| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{R^{ns}-1}{k^{n-\mu+1}}\right) \left(\frac{n|c_{n}|k^{2\mu}+\mu|c_{n-\mu}|k^{\mu-1}}{n|c_{n}|k^{\mu-1}(1+k^{\mu+1})+\mu|c_{n-\mu}|(1+k^{\mu-1})}\right) \{M(p,1)\}^{s}, \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\begin{split} |p(Re^{i\theta})|^s &\leq \{M(p,1)\}^s + \left(\frac{R^{ns}-1}{k^{n-\mu+1}}\right) \\ &\times \left(\frac{n|c_n|k^{2\mu}+\mu|c_{n-\mu}|k^{\mu-1}}{n|c_n|k^{\mu-1}(1+k^{\mu+1})+\mu|c_{n-\mu}|(1+k^{\mu-1})}\right) \{M(p,1)\}^s, \end{split}$$

from which the proof of Theorem 2 follows.

#### References

- N. C. Ankeny and T.J. Rivlin, On a Theorem of S. Bernstein, Pacific J. Math., 5(1955), 849–852.
- [2] K.K. Dewan and Arty Ahuja, *Growth of polynomials with prescribed zeros*, J. Math. Inequalities, to appear.
- [3] K.K.Dewan and Sunil Hans, On extremal properties for the derivative of polynomials, Mathematica Balkanica, 23 (2009), Fasc. 1-2, 27–36.
- [4] K.K.Dewan and Sunil Hans, On maximum modulus for the derivative of a polynomial, Annales Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Lublin, LXIII (2009), 55–62.
- [5] G. Pólya and G. Szegö, Aufgaben and Lehrsatze aus der Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1925.
- [6] M.A. Qazi, On the maximum modulus of polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 115(1992), 337–343.